Exhibit 1

2120 - Served 2220 - Not Served 2320 - Served By Mail 2420 - Served By Publica SUMMONS	ition 2421 - Se		CCG N00	01-10M-1-07-05 (;
(IN THE CIRC	CUIT COURT OF CO	OK COUN AW	TY, ILLINOIS DIVISION	
(Name all parties					
PAUL MOORE,	,			The state of the s	å trakt.
				. *	
	v.			No	
JEFFERIES & CO., INC	C.			Jefferies & Co., Inc. 55 W. Monroe Street	
				Suite 3500	
		SUMMON	is	Chicago, IL 60603	
To each Defendant:					
hereto attached, or otherw following location:	vise file your appe dey Center, 50 W. V okie oard Rd.	arance, and pay the re	802 Meadows	complaint in this case, a copy of in the Office of the Clerk of this Complete, Chicago, Illinois 60602 District 4 - Maywood 1500 Maybrook Ave. Maywood, IL 60153	of which is Court at the
☐ District 5 - Bri 10220 S. 76th / Bridgeview, IL	Ave.	District 6 - Markhan 16501 S. Kedzie Pkw Markham, IL 60426	y .	☐ Child Support 28 North Clark St., Roon Chicago, Illinois 60602	1 200
You must file within 30 da IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO REQUESTED IN THE	O, A JUDGMENT			day of service. CRED AGAINST YOU FOR TH	E RELIEF
To the officer:					
	nd fees, if any, i	mmediately after serv	ice. If ser	to whom it was given for service cannot be made, this Sum safter its date.	
> 22288			WITNESS	,December 3	2007
Atty. No.: 22288 Name: Sperling & Slater,	P.C.				_
Atty. for: Plaintiff				DEPOS	
Address: 55 W. Monroe	Street, Suite 3200			DER PORTUGE DINN	
City/State/Zip: Chicago, II			Date of so	ervice: nserted by officer on conv left with d	efendant

Telephone: 312.641.3200

Service by Facsimile Transmission will be accepted at:

(To be inserted by officer on copy left with defendant

or other person)

(Area Code) (Facsimile Telephone Number)

Notice and	Acknowledgment of receipt	or outlimons and complaint			(+)	
	IN TI	HE CIRCUIT COURT OF C	OOK COUNTY, I	LLINOIS		
		•		()		
PAUL M	OORE,	,		**		
		Plaintiff(s)	Case No.		. *	
	V.		7	4		
IFFFERI	ES & CO., INC.		Defendant	(s) Amount Clai	med: \$975,00	00
<u> </u>		Defendant(s)	J	(3) remodile Cia	ined: 5	
	NOTICE AND ACK	NOWLEDGMENT OF RE	CEIPT OF SUM	IMONS AND	COMPLAINT	
To:	Jefferies & Co., Inc	Address	: 55 V	V. Monroe Str	eet, Juite 3500	07
	(Name)				3 588	
City:	Chicago	Sta	te: Illin	ois	_zip: =3060	<u> </u>
The enclose	ed summons and complaint a				day. SEE	မွ
You must o	complete the acknowledgmen	t part of this form and return	one copy of the c	ompleted form	our sender with	
30	*days.	•		•	NEW SOO	
	sign and date the acknowledg					
	hip), or other entity, you mu nother person and you are at					
	ot complete and return the fo	•				
If you do n being serve	ot complete and return the to ed) may be served a summons	orm to the sender within s and complaint in any other	manner permitted	ou (or the party by law.	on whose benan	you are
	omplete and return this form				answer the comp	laint
		to do so, judgment by defaul				
complaint.						
	inder penalty of perjury, that December 3	t this notice and acknowledgr . 2007 .	nent of receipt of s	ummons and co	mplain will have	been
mailed on	December 5			\mathcal{A}		
Dated:	December 3	2007		B B	ru	<
				Sign	ature	
	ACKNOWL	EDGMENT OF RECEIPT O	F SUMMONS AN	D COMPLAIN	Г	
Lilacia	re, under penalty of perjury,	that I received a conv of the si	ımmons and of the	complaint in th	e above cantioned	matter at:
(Please prin	•	that I received it copy or the or		F		
(r tease prin	Time Q Dal	l ia				
Name:	James 17. July			1	TI land.	<i>a i</i>
Address:	77 W. Wacker Di	r., Suite 35 00 C	ity/State/Zip: <u>C</u>	urcazo,	1 600	<u> </u>
Relationsh	James R. Dal 77 W. Wacker Di ip to Entity /Authority to Re	eceive Service of Process:	Councel of	or Jeff	vier + Co.,	Zuc.
(Not applicab	ole if your are the named Defendant	or Respondent.)	10	1		
Dated:	12/14/07		WA-	taly		
Dated.				Sign	ature	

[&]quot;(To be completed by the person sending the notice.) Date for return of waiver must be at least 30 days from the date on which the request is sent, or 60 days if the defendant is addressed outside the United States.

^{**(}To be completed by the person sending the notice.) Date for answering complaint must be at least 60 days from the date on which the request is sent, or 90 days if the defendant is addressed outside the United States.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION

PAUL MOORE, v. JEFFERIES & CO., INC.	No.
CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET - CASE INITITATION A Civil Action Cover Sheet - Case Initiation shall be filed with th complaint in all civil actions. The information contained herein i	e
for administrative purposes only and cannot be introduced into evidence. Please check the box in front of the appropriate case to which best characterizes your action. ONLY ONE (1) CASE TYPE MAY BE CHECKED WITH THIS COVER SHEET. Jury Demand 4 Yes 1 No	or of of officers
PERSONAL INJURY/WRONGFUL DEATH CASE TYPES: 027 Motor Vehicle 040 Medical Malpractice 047 Asbestos 048 Dram Shop	33 PH STEAMP)
□ 049 Product Liability □ 051 Construction Injuries (including Structural Work Act, Road Construction Injuries Act and negligence) □ 052 Railroad/FELA □ 053 Pediatric Lead Exposure	COMMERCIAL LITIGATION CASE TYPES: 002 Breach of Contract 070 Professional Malpractice (other than legal or medical) 071 Fraud
□ 061 Other Personal Injury/Wrongful Death □ 063 Intentional Tort □ 064 Miscellaneous Statutory Action (Please Specify Below**) □ 065 Premises Liability	☐ 072 Consumer Fraud ☐ 073 Breach of Warranty ☐ 074 Statutory Action (Please Specify Below**) ☐ 075 Other Commercial Litigation (Please Specify Below**)
☐ 078 Fen-phen/Redux Litigation ☐ 199 Silicone Implant TAX & MISCELLANEOUS REMEDIES CASE TYPES: ☐ 007 Confession of Judgment ☐ 008 Replevin ☐ 009 Tax ☐ 015 Condemnation	OTHER ACTIONS CASE TYPES: O62 Property Damage O66 Legal Malpractice O77 Libel/Slander O79 Petition for Qualified Orders
 □ 017 Detinue □ 029 Unemployment Compensation □ 036 Administrative Review Action □ 085 Petition to Register Foreign Judgment □ 099 All Other Extraordinary Remedies 	084 Petition to Issue Subpoena 100 Petition for Discovery ** Quantum Meruit

(Pro Se)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION

PAUL MOORE,)
Plaintiff,))
v.) Case No.
JEFFERIES & CO., INC.,)) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	

COMPLAINT FOR MONEY DAMAGES

Plaintiff, Paul Moore, for his complaint against defendant, Jefferies & Formpany, Inc. states as follows:

I. PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Paul Moore ("Moore"), is an Illinois resident.
- 2. Defendant, Jefferies & Company, Inc. ("Jefferies"), is a foreign corporation. Jefferies maintains an office in Chicago, and it regularly transacts business throughout the state of Illinois.

II. JURISDICTION

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction as a court of original and general jurisdiction. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the defendant because, among other reasons, Jefferies transacts business in Illinois, maintains offices in Illinois, and has significant contacts within Illinois. Further, as alleged herein, this cause of action arises from Jefferies transacting business in Illinois with an Illinois resident and/or engaging in unlawful acts in Illinois that caused harm to an Illinois resident. Thus, the defendant has submitted to the personal jurisdiction of Illinois under 735 ILCS § 5/2-209.

4. Venue is proper in this Court because, among other reasons, a substantial part of the acts and events that give rise to this action occurred in Cook County, Illinois. 735 ILCS § 5/2-101.

III. BACKGROUND

- This is an action to collect a finder's fee owed by Jefferies to Paul Moore. The action arises out of Moore's efforts on Jefferies' behalf and at Jefferies' request that enabled Jefferies to bid for and obtain the investment banking assignment to arrange almost \$500 million in debt financing for a large casino/racetrack project. Jefferies earned a fee believed to be in excess of \$6 million for arranging the financing. As more fully alleged below, Moore rendered a valuable service to Jefferies and was the procuring cause of Jefferies' engagement. But for Moore's efforts, which began in the spring of 2007 and continued thereafter with Jefferies' knowledge and at Jefferies' request, Jefferies would not have obtained the investment banking assignment and would not have received a \$6 million-plus fee. Pursuant to custom and practice, including a very recent occasion where Jefferies paid a finder's fee to a Moore-controlled entity, the expected and appropriate amount of Moore's finder's fee is 15% of Jefferies' fee. However, after obtaining its fee, Jefferies has refused to pay Moore, despite repeated requests.
- 6. More particularly, in early 2007, Moore was working closely with Gary Levenstein (an Illinois resident) on an unrelated project. Moore learned that Levenstein was also concurrently working on a racetrack/casino project (referred to as "Racino") that required almost \$500 million in financing. Levenstein had substantial duties and responsibilities concerning the procurement of financing for the Racino project on behalf of the prospective borrower, and Levenstein had been working with a different investment bank.

- 7. Upon learning of the large prospective financing needed for the Racino project, Moore contacted Jefferies, through Ray Minella ("Minella"), one of Jefferies' managing directors. Moore asked Minella whether Jefferies wanted to bid on providing the financing and whether Moore should attempt to arrange for Jefferies to do so. Minella told Moore that Jefferies very much would like to have a meaningful opportunity to bid on the Racino business, and encouraged Moore to do whatever he could to enable Jefferies to get that opportunity.
- 8. Concurrently, Moore pursued his discussions with Levenstein, who, as alleged above, was then working with a different investment bank and not considering Jefferies for the Racino financing. Moore argued to Levenstein, and truly believed, that Jefferies would be an ideal firm to arrange financing for the Racino project. According to Levenstein, it was only because of Moore's efforts and enthusiastic support of Jefferies that Levenstein came to believe that Jefferies would be the right investment bank for the Racino financing. As Levenstein put it, Moore (verbally) "slapped me upside the head" to convince Levenstein that Jefferies was the ideal firm to deliver the necessary financing.
- 9. As a result of Moore's advocacy, Levenstein came to believe that Jefferies would be better suited for the Racino project than the firm he had been working with, and asked Moore to arrange to have Jefferies contact him to make a presentation. Moore then contacted Minella and informed him that Moore had arranged for Jefferies to make its presentation for the Racino financing and that Levenstein expected Minella to call. Soon thereafter, Minella contacted Levenstein, who in turn arranged for Jefferies to make its presentation to the prospective borrower. Jefferies was, in fact, well suited to provide the required financing. Jefferies made a strong presentation, received the Racino project's business, arranged the financing, closed the financing, and earned a fee in excess of \$6 million.

Moore and Levenstein that if Jefferies obtained the Racino financing engagement, Moore would be paid for his efforts. Moreover, at no time before firmly securing the Racino financing engagement did Jefferies ever suggest that Moore would not be paid at the usual finder's rate.

IV. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I – QUANTUM MERUIT

- 11. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 10, as set forth above.
- 12. Moore provided valuable services to Jefferies that enabled Jefferies to obtain the engagement to provide investment banking services for the Racino project. But for Moore's efforts, Jefferies would not have secured that engagement. Thus, Moore was the procuring cause of Jefferies' engagement for the Racino project and the ensuing fee earned by Jefferies.
- 13. Jefferies knowingly availed itself of Moore's services, received the benefit of those services and, as a result, earned a fee in excess of \$6 million.
- 14. Moore reasonably expected to be compensated for his efforts on Jefferies' behalf; Jefferies understood that Moore expected to be compensated; and justice requires that Moore be compensated for the benefits he conferred upon Jefferies. Pursuant to custom and practice, including the parties' own prior dealing, the usual and appropriate finder's fee for the services provided by Moore is 15% of Jefferies' fee.

WHEREFORE, Moore respectfully requests that judgment be entered in his favor and against Jefferies for (a) a finder's fee amounting to 15% of Jefferies' fee; (b) prejudgment interest: (c) the costs of this suit including a reasonable attorney's fee; and (d) such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II – BREACH OF CONTRACT (as an alternative cause of action)

- 15. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 10, as set forth above.
- 16. In his communications with Jefferies, Moore specifically stated that he expected to be compensated if Jefferies secured the investment banking business for the Racino project. Further, Minella specifically acknowledged to Moore and Levenstein that Jefferies would compensate Moore for his efforts in causing and enabling Jefferies to obtain this financing project.
- 17. Pursuant to custom and practice, including the parties' own prior dealing, the finder's fee for the services provided by Moore is 15% of any fee earned by Jefferies.
- 18. By reason of the foregoing, the parties entered into a contract whereby in exchange for Moore's valuable services in arranging for Jefferies to bid for and obtain the Racino financing project, Jefferies agreed to pay Moore a finder's fee in the customary amount.
- 19. Moore fully performed his obligations as required by the contract and was the procuring cause of Jefferies' engagement.
- 20. Jefferies obtained the investment banking assignment, arranged the financing, and was paid a substantial fee -- just as the parties had envisioned. However, Jefferies breached its obligation to pay Moore the customary finder's fee.
- 21. As a result, Moore has been injured and has sustained damages in the amount of the unpaid finder's fee.

WHEREFORE, Moore respectfully requests that judgment be entered in his favor and against Jefferies for (a) a finder's fee amounting to 15% of Jefferies' fee; (b) prejudgment interest; (c) the costs of this suit including a reasonable attorney's fee; and (d) such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: December 3, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

PAUL MOORE

By: One of his Attorneys

Bruce S. Sperling
Greg Shinall
Matthew Slater
SPERLING & SLATER, P.C. (#22288)
55 West Monroe Street, Suite 3200
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 641-3200
(312) 641-6492 (fax)
shinall@sperling-law.com

1910 - No Fee Paid
1919 - Fee Paid
Jury Demand

CCG N067-10M-6/09/04 (

)

-

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

PAUL MOORE,		
v.	> No	7
JEFFERIES & CO., INC.	J	DEC 33
	JURY DEMAND	3 PH 4: 19 RI OF COOK PISION

The undersigned demands a jury trial.

(Signature)

Dated:	December 3	,

Atty. No.: 22288

Name: Sperling & Slater, P.C.

Atty. for: Plaintiff

Address: 55 W. Monroe Street, Suite 3200

City/State/Zip: Chicago, IL 60603

Telephone: 312.641.3200